

Report Identification Number: NY-21-008

Prepared by: New York City Regional Office

Issue Date: Jul 21, 2021

This report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns: A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child.
The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case.
The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized agency.
The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case.

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the Senate of the State of New York.

This report may <u>only</u> be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.



Abbreviations

Relationships							
BM-Biological Mother	SM-Subject Mother	SC-Subject Child					
BF-Biological Father	SF-Subject Father	OC-Other Child					
MGM-Maternal Grand Mother	MGF-Maternal Grand Father	FF-Foster Father					
PGM-Paternal Grand Mother	PGF-Paternal Grand Father	DCP-Day Care Provider					
MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother	MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father	PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Father					
PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother	MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle	PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle					
FM-Foster Mother	SS-Surviving Sibling	PS-Parent Sub					
CH/CHN-Child/Children	OA-Other Adult						
	Contacts						
LE-Law Enforcement	CW-Case Worker	CP-Case Planner					
DrDoctor	ME-Medical Examiner	EMS-Emergency Medical Services					
DC-Day Care	FD-Fire Department	BM-Biological Mother					
CPS-Child Protective Services							
	Allegations						
FX-Fractures	II-Internal Injuries	L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts					
S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains	C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking	B/S-Burns/Scalding					
P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance	XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment	PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse					
CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use	LMC-Lack of Medical Care	EdN-Educational Neglect					
EN-Emotional Neglect	SA-Sexual Abuse	M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive					
IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter	IG-Inadequate Guardianship	LS-Lack of Supervision					
Ab-Abandonment	OTH/COI-Other						
	Miscellaneous						
IND-Indicated	UNF-Unfounded	SO-Sexual Offender					
Sub-Substantiated	Unsub-Unsubstantiated	DV-Domestic Violence					
LDSS-Local Department of Social	ACS-Administration for Children's	NYPD-New York City Police					
Service	Services	Department					
PPRS-Purchased Preventive	TANF-Temporary Assistance to Needy	FC-Foster Care					
Rehabilitative Services	Families						
MH-Mental Health	ER-Emergency Room	COS-Court Ordered Services					
OP-Order of Protection	RAP-Risk Assessment Profile	FASP-Family Assessment Plan					
FAR-Family Assessment Response	Hx-History	Tx-Treatment					
CAC-Child Advocacy Center	PIP-Program Improvement Plan	yo- year(s) old					
CPR-Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation	ASTO-Allowing Sex Abuse to Occur						



Case Information

Report Type: Child Deceased **Jurisdiction:** New York **Date of Death:** 01/24/2021

Age: 2 month(s) Gender: Male Initial Date OCFS Notified: 01/24/2021

Presenting Information

The 1/24/21 fatality report alleged that the SC and SS were in the care of the SM and SF. At about 12:04 PM, one or both of the parents noticed that the SC was not breathing. The SC was in a pack n play, with a one-piece sleeper on, laying on his back. There were two bottles, a blanket, and something that looked like a pillow under the blanket in the pack n play. The SM left the unit to find help. While on the way back to the unit, with another adult, the SM called 911. In the unit, the SF sat on the side of the bed opposite from the pack n play and the SS sat next to him. The SC was cold, turning blue and appeared stiff. The SM started to administer CPR as instructed by 911 staff. While doing so, the SM kept stopping and looked at the SF. After about five minutes, emergency personnel arrived and took over. The SC was transported to the hospital and was pronounced dead. The SC was an otherwise healthy CH and the SM and SF had no explanation for his death.

Executive Summary

The 2-month-old male child subject child died on 1/24/21. As of the issuance of this report, NYCRO had not received the autopsy report. The allegations of the report were DOA/Fatality, Fractures, and Inadequate Guardianship of the subject child by the parents, Internal Injuries by the mother and Inadequate Guardianship of the one-year-old surviving sibling by the parents.

At the time of his death, the subject child resided in the home with his parents and a one-year-old female surviving sibling.

Throughout the investigation with law enforcement ACS learned that on 1/24/21 between 2:00AM and 4:00AM the SC was in his Pack-n-Play; the SC was crying. Neighbors had reported hearing the SC's continuous crying during the night. Out of frustration at the SC's crying, the SM picked up the SC and struck the SC's head against the metal railing of the Pack-n-Play about three times. The SM told law enforcement personnel that she "clocked" the SC and the last time "was the worst...it hit the worst." The mother then called 911 and the operator instructed her on how to perform CPR. First responders arrived and took over CPR. The SC was pronounced dead at the hospital.

The ME reported the SC sustained multiple fractures on back of the skull at the impact site as well as other radiating fractures. The injuries were consistent with the SM's statement.

ACS conducted an emergency removal of the SS on 1/25/21. A remand was granted by the Family Court on 1/26/21 and it was upheld on 1/29/21. On 1/27/21, the SS was placed into kinship foster care. ACS scheduled an appointment at the CAC for the SS as there were several subsequent reports of the SS being hit in the head or handled in a rough manner. ACS also assisted the family with funeral arrangements and referred the father for services including fatherhood and parenting skills. The SM was arrested and remained incarcerated.

On 4/2/21, ACS substantiated all the allegations of fatality reports registered on 1/24/21, 1/25/21, 1/26/21, and 1/27/21 on the basis of some credible evidence. ACS documented the SC sustained a fatal injury on 1/24/21 while in the care of the parents and the mother admitted to striking the SC's head against the metal railing of the Pack-n-Play multiple times as the SC would not stop crying. To support the decision ACS documented the ME reported the SC had sustained multiple fractures on the back of the skull, at the impact site and radiating fractures. As per the ME, this was consistent with the SM's disclosure. The autopsy revealed evidence of prior head injury indicating this was not the first time the parents

NY-21-008 FINAL Page 3 of 14



failed to protect the SC. ACS documented the SF was present in the home during the incident and failed to protect the SC as he took a nap in the bed alongside the Pack-n-Play.

A report was also registered by the SCR on 1/26/21 with allegations of IG and XCP of the SS by the SF, and on 4/4/21, ACS indicated the report.

Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the Fatality

Safety Assessment:

- Was sufficient information gathered to make the decision recorded on the:
 - Approved Initial Safety Assessment?

Yes

Safety assessment due at the time of determination?

Yes

• Was the safety decision on the approved Initial Safety Assessment appropriate?

Yes

Determination:

 Was sufficient information gathered to make determination(s) for all allegations as well as any others identified in the course of the investigation? Yes, sufficient information was gathered to determine all allegations.

• Was the determination made by the district to unfound or indicate appropriate?

Yes

Explain:

Sufficient information was gathered to make a determination for all allegations including those on the intake report.

Was the decision to close the case appropriate?

N/A

Was casework activity commensurate with appropriate and relevant statutory Yes

or regulatory requirements?

Was there sufficient documentation of supervisory consultation?

Yes, the case record has detail of the

consultation.

Explain:

On 1/25/21, an emergency removal of the SS was conducted. A remand was granted on 1/26/21. The SS was placed with the godmother.

Required Actions Related to the Fatality

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes No

Issue:	Adequacy of Documentation of Safety Assessments
Summary:	There were comments on the $1/25/21$ safety assessment form that did not support the selected safety factor.
Legal Reference:	18 NYCRR432.2(b)(3)(ii)(c)&(iii)(b)

NY-21-008 FINAL Page 4 of 14



Action:	ACS must submit a PIP within 45 days that identifies the action the agency has taken or will take to address the citations identified in the fatality report. ACS must meet with the staff involved with this fatality investigation and inform NYCRO of the date of the meeting, who attended and what was discussed.
Issue:	Timely/Adequate Case Recording/Progress Notes
Summary:	There were notes that were not entered contemporaneously. Additionally, ACS utilized the acronym "SS" for shelter staff and shift supervisor. It is confusing to utilize one acronym for two different identifiers.
Legal Reference:	18 NYCRR 428.5
Action:	ACS must submit a PIP within 45 days that identifies the action the agency has taken or will take to address the citations identified in the fatality report. ACS must meet with the staff involved with this fatality investigation and inform NYCRO of the date of the meeting, who attended and what was discussed.

Fatality-Related Information and Investigative Activities

	Incident Information	
Date of Death: 01/24/2021	Time of De	ath: 12:52 PM
Time of fatal incident, if diff	ferent than time of death:	12:00 PM
County where fatality incide	ent occurred:	New York
Was 911 or local emergency	number called?	Yes
Time of Call:		Unknown
Did EMS respond to the sce	ne?	Yes
At time of incident leading t	o death, had child used alcohol or drugs?	N/A
Child's activity at time of in-	cident:	
☐ Sleeping	Working	Driving / Vehicle occupant
☐ Playing	☐ Eating	Unknown
Other: crying		
Did child have supervision a	t time of incident leading to death? Yes	
At time of incident was supe	rvisor impaired? Not impaired.	
At time of incident supervisor	or was:	
Distracted	Absent	
Asleep	Other: awake	
Total number of deaths at in	ncident event:	
Children ages 0-18: 1		
Adults: 0		

Household Composition at time of Fatality

NY-21-008 FINAL Page 5 of 14



Household	Relationship	Role	Gender	Age
Deceased Child's Household	Deceased Child	Alleged Victim	Male	2 Month(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Father	Alleged Perpetrator	Male	23 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Mother	Alleged Perpetrator	Female	24 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	Alleged Victim	Female	1 Year(s)

LDSS Response

On 1/24/21, the ME's investigator and LE reported the parents said the SC was placed down for a nap at about 10:00 AM. About two hours later, SM checked the SC and found him unresponsive. The SM went to the shelter staff for assistance. EMS was contacted and SC was transported to the hospital. There were no findings of trauma or injuries. No arrest was made as there was no suspicion of abuse, and no criminality was found. However, as the investigation progressed it was learned the mother hit the SC's head against the railing of the Pack-n-Play.

On 1/25/21, the ADA told ACS the SM would be arrested as she disclosed picking up the SC and hitting his head 3-4 times against the railing of the Pack-n-Play due to the SC's crying. The autopsy revealed multiple cranial fractures on his head. According to the ADA, the SM used a force level of 9.5 on a scale of 1-10 when striking the SC against the railing.

On 1/25/21, the SF said he was asleep throughout the incident and stated multiple times he wished he was up. He said he saw the SC sleeping on his back in the Pack-n-Play. He saw the SC breathing and moving his head. SF took a nap between 8:30AM-9:00AM and woke up "out of a dead" sleep at about 12:00 PM to the SM screaming the SC was dead and he was not breathing. He said the SM's yelling woke the SS who cried and attempted to go towards the SC. He picked her up and prevented her from reaching the Pack-n-Play, as he did not want the SS to observe the SC. He described how they attempted to perform CPR. He was shocked about the SC dying and learning the SM inflicted the injury. The SF denied substance abuse and said the SM smoked cigarettes. He did not know whether the SM used marijuana as he did not know what she did when she went out. He did not hear anything at all when taking the nap and was "knocked out" with the SS on him. He said that on 1/24/21, the SM went downstairs to get one of the workers and was on the phone with EMS.

On 1/25/21, ACS conducted an emergency removal of the SS. ACS transported the SS to a service provider agency. The documentation reflected that due to reports of the SS being hit in the head by the SF she was seen at the CAC for a skeletal survey. ACS observed a mark on the right side of the SS's forehead that seemed like a slightly raised red line which was about 1cm or less in length. The SS was seen by the nurse who reported the findings were normal.

On 1/27/21, ACS interviewed the PA who reported she received a call from the SM informing her of the SC's death. According to the PA the SM reported she checked the SC at 10:00 AM and 11:00 AM and by 12:40 PM, he was dead.

On 2/16/21, the SF's case manager stated the agency was in the process of referring the SF to a transitional living facility as he kept up with the rules and was willing to participate in therapy.

On 3/26/21, ACS received information from the ADA regarding the SM's statements to LE. According to the documents, SM disclosed striking the SC head against the pack n play railing three or four times due to frustration as the SC would not stop crying.

On 4/2/21, ACS substantiated the allegations stemming from fatality reports registered on 1/24/21, 1/25/21, 1/26/21 and 1/27/21 against the parents. A report was also registered with the SCR and ACS on 1/26/21 with allegations of IG and XCP of the SS by the SF. On 4/4/21, ACS indicated the report. ACS documented the SC sustained a fatal injury on 1/24/21 while in the care of the parents.

NY-21-008 FINAL Page 6 of 14



Official Manner and Cause of Death

Official Manner: Pending

Primary Cause of Death: Pending

Person Declaring Official Manner and Cause of Death: Medical Examiner

Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

Was the fatality investigation conducted by a Multidisciplinary Team (MDT)? Yes

Was the fatality reviewed by an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team?No

Comments: There is no OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team in NYC.

SCR Fatality Report Summary

Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome
057420 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	057421 - Mother, Female, 24 Year(s)	Internal Injuries	Substantiated
057420 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	057422 - Father, Male, 23 Year(s)	DOA / Fatality	Substantiated
057420 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	057422 - Father, Male, 23 Year(s)	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated
057420 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	057421 - Mother, Female, 24 Year(s)	DOA / Fatality	Substantiated
057420 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	057421 - Mother, Female, 24 Year(s)	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated
057420 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	057422 - Father, Male, 23 Year(s)	Fractures	Substantiated
057420 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	057421 - Mother, Female, 24 Year(s)	Fractures	Substantiated
057423 - Sibling, Female, 1 Year(s)	057422 - Father, Male, 23 Year(s)	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated
057423 - Sibling, Female, 1 Year(s)	057421 - Mother, Female, 24 Year(s)	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated

CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
All children observed?	\boxtimes			
When appropriate, children were interviewed?			\boxtimes	
Alleged subject(s) interviewed face-to-face?	\boxtimes			
All 'other persons named' interviewed face-to-face?			\boxtimes	
Contact with source?	\boxtimes			

NY-21-008 FINAL Page 7 of 14



All appropriate Collaterals contacted?	\boxtimes			
Was a death-scene investigation performed?	\boxtimes			
Was there discussion with all parties (youth, other household members, and staff) who were present that day (if nonverbal, observation and comments in case notes)?	\boxtimes			
Coordination of investigation with law enforcement?	\boxtimes			
Was there timely entry of progress notes and other required documentation?				
Fatality Safety Assessment Activities				
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were there any surviving siblings or other children in the household?	\boxtimes			
Was there an adequate assessment of impending or immediate danger to shousehold named in the report:	urviving	siblings/o	other child	dren in the
Within 24 hours?	\boxtimes			
At 7 days?	\boxtimes			
At 30 days?	\boxtimes			
Was there an approved Initial Safety Assessment for all surviving siblings/ other children in the household within 24 hours?	\boxtimes			
Are there any safety issues that need to be referred back to the local district?				
When safety factors were present that placed the surviving siblings/other children in the household in impending or immediate danger of serious harm, were the safety interventions, including parent/caretaker actions adequate?	\boxtimes			
Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessment	Profile			
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to
	<u> </u>			Determine
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case?				
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household?				
Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services?	\boxtimes			
Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation?	\boxtimes			
Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case	\boxtimes			

NY-21-008 FINAL Page 8 of 14



Criminal Charge: Assault

Degree: 1

Child Fatality Report

Explain:

On 1/25/21, an emergency removal of the SS was conducted. A remand was granted by the Family Court on 1/26/21 and it was upheld on 1/29/21. On 1/27/21, the SS was placed into kinship foster care. Sufficient information was gathered to assess risk to the SS.

Placement Activities in Response to the Fatality Investigation

Unable to

Determine

N/A

Yes

No

siblings/other	r factors in the case show the need for the surviving children in the household be removed or placed in fosme during this fatality investigation?						
	rviving children in the household that were removed of this fatality report / investigation or for reasons unrelate?	I .					
If Yes, court of	ordered?		\boxtimes				
	cessary: n emergency removal of the SS was conducted. A remand on 1/29/21. On 1/27/21, the SS was placed into kinship for			the Family	Court on	1/26/21 and	
	Legal Activity Related to the F	Fatality					
Was there legal activity as a result of the fatality investigation? ☐ Criminal Court ☐ Order of Protection							
-	t Petition Type: FCA Article 10 - CPS						
Date Filed:	Fact Finding Description: Di	ispositio	n Descri	ption:			
01/29/2021	There was not a fact finding There	here was	not a dis	position			
Respondent:	057421 Mother Female 24 Year(s)						
Comments:	omments: On 1/25/21, an emergency removal of the SS was conducted. A remand was granted by the Family Court on 1/26/21 and it was upheld on 1/29/21. On 1/27/21, the SS was placed into kinship foster care.						
Family Court	t Petition Type: FCA Article 10 - CPS						
Date Filed:	Fact Finding Description: Disposition Description:						
01/29/2021	There was not a fact finding The	here was	not a dis	position			
Respondent:	057422 Father Male 23 Year(s)						
Comments:	on 1/25/21, an emergency removal of the SS was conducted. A remand was granted by the Family Court on 1/26/21 and it was upheld on 1/29/21. On 1/27/21, the SS was placed into Kinship foster care.						

NY-21-008 FINAL Page 9 of 14



Date Charges Filed:	Against Whom?	Date of Disposition:	Disposition:
01/25/2021	Mother	Unknown	Unknown
		t Felony Assault with intent to cause serious ault to cause injuries to a child, and one cour	

Services Provided to the Family in Response to the Fatality

Services	Provided After Death	Offered, but Refused	Offered, Unknown if Used	Not Offered	Needed but Unavailable	N/A	CDR Lead to Referral
Bereavement counseling							
Economic support						\boxtimes	
Funeral arrangements			\boxtimes				
Housing assistance						\boxtimes	
Mental health services	\boxtimes						
Foster care	\boxtimes						
Health care						\boxtimes	
Legal services						\boxtimes	
Family planning						\boxtimes	
Homemaking Services						\boxtimes	
Parenting Skills						\boxtimes	
Domestic Violence Services						\boxtimes	
Early Intervention			\boxtimes				
Alcohol/Substance abuse						\boxtimes	
Child Care						\boxtimes	
Intensive case management						\boxtimes	
Family or others as safety resources	\boxtimes						
Other	\boxtimes						
Other, specify: anger management class						-	

Additional information, if necessary:

The documentation reflected the SS was referred for an Early Intervention evaluation.

Were services provided to siblings or other children in the household to address any immediate needs and support their well-being in response to the fatality? Yes

Explain:

The SS was placed in kinship foster care.

NY-21-008 FINAL Page 10 of 14



Were services provided to parent(s) and other care givers to address any immediate needs related to the fatality? Yes

Explain:

On 2/3/21, ACS completed a referral with service provider agency for the SF for clinical health services.

mistory Frior to the Fata	anty	
Child Information		
Did the child have a history of alleged child abuse/maltreatment? Was the child ever placed outside of the home prior to the death? Were there any siblings ever placed outside of the home prior to this	child's death?	No No No
Was the child acutely ill during the two weeks before death?		No
Infants Under One Year Ol	d	
During pregnancy, mother: Had medical complications / infections Misused over-the-counter or prescription drugs Experienced domestic violence Was not noted in the case record to have any of the issues listed	☐ Had heavy alcoho☐ Smoked tobacco☐ Used illicit drugs	
Infant was born: ☐ Drug exposed ☐ With neither of the issues listed noted in case record	☐ With fetal alcoho	l effects or syndrome

CPS - Investigative History Three Years Prior to the Fatality

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
05/11/2020	Sibling, Female, 5 Months	Mother, Female, 24 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	No
	Sibling, Female, 5 Months	Father, Male, 23 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	
		Unrelated Home Member, Female, 18 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Female, 5 Months	Mother, Female, 24 Years	Swelling / Dislocations / Sprains	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Female, 5 Months	Father, Male, 23 Years	Swelling / Dislocations / Sprains	Unsubstantiated	

Report Summary:

The 5/11/20 report alleged the SM and SF had allowed the SS to cry continuously for nearly two days. It was unknown



and Family Services Child Fatality Repo	rt			
why the SS was in continuous distress. The adults were not making adequaneeds.	te efforts to pr	rovide care	e and addı	ress the SS's
Report Determination: Unfounded Date of	Determinatio	n: 07/09/2	2020	
Basis for Determination: During the investigation, ACS made contact with collaterals including the proncerns for the SS. ACS spoke with the SM and SF who said they did not time. ACS conducted weekly visits to the home and assessed there to be no checks for marks and bruises on the SS. The SS was observed without any to be in the care of her parents during the weekly visits. ACS verified with any of her former paramours and if she did she would call 911. Safe sleep weekly visits.	leave the SS safety hazard marks or bruit the SM that sl	unattended ls. ACS co ses. The S he did not	d or home onducted very selection of the selection of the selection date of the selection o	alone at any weekly ays observed nger with
OCFS Review Results:				
The SM denied the allegations. She never left the SS crying for two days. The SM denied the allegations it was possible someone could have heat the MA said it was a lie and the SS was never left unattended especially for home. Safe sleep was discussed with SM, SF and MA. The MA stated she allegations were not true and the SS was never left unattended. On 5/18/20, was incapable of caring for anyone currently. On 7/9/20, ACS opened a ser Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes	rd from the or two days. The nelped the SM a service pro	utside. Reg nere was no I with the	garding th o drug use SS. The S	e allegations, in the F said the
CPS - Investigative History More Than Three Year	s Prior to the I	Fatality		
There was no CPS investigative history more than three years prior to the fa Known CPS History Outside of N				
The Family Service Progress Notes (FSPN) reflected that on 1/11/21, ACS afamily's new residence out of state. The Child Line was contacted, and a statche County.				
Services Open at the Time of the	Fatality			
Was the deceased child(ren) involved in an open preventive services can Date the preventive services case was opened: 07/09/2020 Was the deceased child(ren) involved in an open Child Protective Servi Date the Child Protective Services case was opened: 07/09/2020			·	
Evaluative Review of Services that were Open at th	e Time of the F	atality		
<u> </u>				
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Did the service provider(s) comply with the timeliness and content requirements for progress notes?				

 \boxtimes

 \boxtimes

Did the services provided meet the service needs as outlined in the case

Did all service providers comply with mandated reporter requirements?

record?



Was there information in the case record that indicated the existence of behaviors or conditions that placed the children in the case in danger or increased their risk of harm?	\boxtimes			
Casework Contacts				
Casework Contacts				
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Did the service provider comply with case work contacts, including face- to-face contact as required by regulations pertaining to the program choice?				
Services Provided				
Services Provided				
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were services provided to siblings or other children in the household to address any immediate needs and support their well-being in response to the fatality?	\boxtimes			
Were services provided to parents as necessary to achieve safety, permanency, and well-being?				
Family Assessment and Service Plan (FAS	(P)			
Family Assessment and Service Plan (FAS	SP)			
Family Assessment and Service Plan (FAS	SP) Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Family Assessment and Service Plan (FAS) Was the most recent FASP approved on time?		No 🗆	N/A	
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the most recent FASP approved on time? Was there a current Risk Assessment Profile/Risk Assessment in the most	Yes		N/A	
Was the most recent FASP approved on time? Was there a current Risk Assessment Profile/Risk Assessment in the most recent FASP? Was the FASP consistent with the case circumstances?	Yes		N/A	
Was the most recent FASP approved on time? Was there a current Risk Assessment Profile/Risk Assessment in the most recent FASP?	Yes		N/A	
Was the most recent FASP approved on time? Was there a current Risk Assessment Profile/Risk Assessment in the most recent FASP? Was the FASP consistent with the case circumstances?	Yes		N/A	
Was the most recent FASP approved on time? Was there a current Risk Assessment Profile/Risk Assessment in the most recent FASP? Was the FASP consistent with the case circumstances?	Yes 🖂			Determine Unable to
Was the most recent FASP approved on time? Was there a current Risk Assessment Profile/Risk Assessment in the most recent FASP? Was the FASP consistent with the case circumstances? Closing Was the decision to close the Services case appropriate?	Yes 🖂		N/A	Determine Unable to
Was the most recent FASP approved on time? Was there a current Risk Assessment Profile/Risk Assessment in the most recent FASP? Was the FASP consistent with the case circumstances? Closing	Yes 🖂		N/A	Determine Unable to
Was the most recent FASP approved on time? Was there a current Risk Assessment Profile/Risk Assessment in the most recent FASP? Was the FASP consistent with the case circumstances? Closing Was the decision to close the Services case appropriate?	Yes 🖂		N/A	Unable to Determine
Was the most recent FASP approved on time? Was there a current Risk Assessment Profile/Risk Assessment in the most recent FASP? Was the FASP consistent with the case circumstances? Closing Was the decision to close the Services case appropriate?	Yes 🖂		N/A	Determine Unable to

NY-21-008 **FINAL** Page 13 of 14



Additional information, if necessary:

During the 5/11/20 investigation, ACS opened a Family Service Stage (FSS) on 7/9/20. The family was referred for PPRS.

PPKS.					
	Required Action(s)				
Are there Require	ed Actions related to compliance issues for provisions of CPS or Preventive services ?				
Issue:	Adequacy of case recording in FASP				
Summary:	The 10/7/20 FASP was inadequate. The RAP was completed incorrectly. The notes reflected the SM had a clinical health diagnosis in the past; however, the RAP reflected that she did not have a clinical health illness.				
Legal Reference:	18 NYCRR 428.6(a)				
Action:	ACS must submit a PIP within 45 days that identifies the action the agency has taken or will take to address the citations identified in the fatality report. ACS must meet with the staff involved with this fatality investigation and inform NYCRO of the date of the meeting, who attended and what was discussed.				
	Preventive Services History				
During the 5/11/20 investigation, ACS opened a service case on 7/9/20 due to concerns that the 1-yo SS was heard outside crying continuously for two days with no one attending her. There was no substantial evidence the SS was left home unattended. The Initial FASP reflected that the service plan was case management services for the SS. On 1/7/21, ACS confirmed with the shelter staff the family relocated. On 1/19/21, the SM informed PPRS the family would be returning to a shelter in NYC from out of state. On 1/20/21, the SM told PPRS they were accepted in a shelter in NYC and wanted to continue PPRS preferably with a program that meets less.					
	Legal History Within Three Years Prior to the Fatality				
Was there any legal activity within three years prior to the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity					
Recommended Action(s)					
Are there any recommended actions for local or state administrative or policy changes? Yes No					
Are there any recommended prevention activities resulting from the review? ☐Yes ☒No					

NY-21-008 FINAL Page 14 of 14