

Report Identification Number: NY-21-089

Prepared by: New York City Regional Office

Issue Date: Jan 28, 2022

This report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns: A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child.
The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case.
The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized agency.
The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case.

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the Senate of the State of New York.

This report may <u>only</u> be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.



Abbreviations

	Relationships	
BM-Biological Mother	SM-Subject Mother	SC-Subject Child
BF-Biological Father	SF-Subject Father	OC-Other Child
MGM-Maternal Grand Mother	MGF-Maternal Grand Father	FF-Foster Father
PGM-Paternal Grand Mother	PGF-Paternal Grand Father	DCP-Day Care Provider
MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother	MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father	PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Father
PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother	MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle	PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle
FM-Foster Mother	SS-Surviving Sibling	PS-Parent Sub
CH/CHN-Child/Children	OA-Other Adult	
	Contacts	
LE-Law Enforcement	CW-Case Worker	CP-Case Planner
DrDoctor	ME-Medical Examiner	EMS-Emergency Medical Services
DC-Day Care	FD-Fire Department	BM-Biological Mother
CPS-Child Protective Services		
	Allegations	
FX-Fractures	II-Internal Injuries	L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts
S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains	C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking	B/S-Burns/Scalding
P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance	XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment	PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse
CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use	LMC-Lack of Medical Care	EdN-Educational Neglect
EN-Emotional Neglect	SA-Sexual Abuse	M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive
IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter	IG-Inadequate Guardianship	LS-Lack of Supervision
Ab-Abandonment	OTH/COI-Other	
	Miscellaneous	
IND-Indicated	UNF-Unfounded	SO-Sexual Offender
Sub-Substantiated	Unsub-Unsubstantiated	DV-Domestic Violence
LDSS-Local Department of Social	ACS-Administration for Children's	NYPD-New York City Police
Service	Services	Department
PPRS-Purchased Preventive Rehabilitative Services	TANF-Temporary Assistance to Needy Families	FC-Foster Care
MH-Mental Health	ER-Emergency Room	COS-Court Ordered Services
OP-Order of Protection	RAP-Risk Assessment Profile	FASP-Family Assessment Plan
FAR-Family Assessment Response	Hx-History	Tx-Treatment
CAC-Child Advocacy Center	PIP-Program Improvement Plan	yo- year(s) old
CPR-Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation	ASTO-Allowing Sex Abuse to Occur	



Case Information

Report Type: Child Deceased Jurisdiction: Queens Date of Death: 08/20/2021

Age: 2 month(s) Gender: Male Initial Date OCFS Notified: 08/21/2021

Presenting Information

The SCR report alleged on 8/20/21, some time prior to 9:58PM, the 2-month-old male subject child stopped breathing while playing at home. The parents drove the child to the hospital. The child had no femoral pulse and was in cardiac arrest when he arrived at the hospital. The doctors attempted to revive the child; however, they were not able to do so. The report alleged the doctors stopped chest compressions and the child was pronounced dead at 10:28PM. The parents had no explanation as to how the child died.

Executive Summary

This report concerns the death of a two-month-old male subject child who died on 8/20/21. No autopsy was conducted due to the family's religious beliefs. The ME listed the cause and the manner of death as undetermined.

At the time of the child's death, he resided with his parents, and adult sibling, and two minor surviving siblings ages 13 and 2 years old. The family was engaged in preventive services at the time of the fatality.

ACS' investigation revealed the subject child had medical issues since his birth. The child was hospitalized on 5 different occasions prior to his death, the latest hospitalization was from 8/14/21 to 8/17/21. The child was undergoing tests with medical specialists to identify his ailment and the trigger(s). The family saw the Neurologist on 7/30/21 and the Geneticist on 8/18/21, and had an appointment for 8/27/21 for the Cardiologist. The family was compliant with all medical appointments for the child.

Regarding the incident on 8/20/21, the family reported throughout the day on 8/20/21, the child was fine, pleasant, and happy. The mother reported that about 6:30PM she and the father were watching television in the room and they often checked the child's crib to see if he was breathing. The mother reported that at approximately 9:30PM, the father observed the child to be still. The mother reported that when the father lifted the child from the crib, he was limp, his hands fell, his eyes were closed, and he was unresponsive. The mother reported they ran to their vehicle and drove to the hospital where he had been receiving medical care for seizures. The parents arrived at 9:55PM and the child was pronounced dead at 10:28PM. The parents said they did not call for EMS as they believed the ambulance would take a long time to get to them.

Contact with medical personnel at the hospital confirmed the family brought the child at about 9:55PM. When the family arrived, the child was immediately assessed for trauma; no trauma was noted. Attempts were made to resuscitate the child; however, the attempts were unsuccessful.

ACS contacted law enforcement and learned no criminality was suspected and therefore no arrests would be made.

The ME stated the child appeared to be a healthy baby and was "a little chubby". There were no marks, bruises, or scars, except for little scratches on his face from his little finger nails.

ACS completed safety assessments on 8/22/21, 8/26/21, 9/20/21, and 10/14/21 and documented no safety factors existed that placed the surviving siblings in immediate or impending danger of harm.

On 10/14/21, ACS unsubstantiated the allegations of DOA/Fatality and Inadequate Guardianship of the 2-month-old child

NY-21-089 FINAL Page 3 of 12



by the parents on the basis of no credible evidence. ACS documented the minimum standard of care for the subject child was reasonable and there was no actual physical or developmental harm to the child by the parents. Further, the subject child died after having a history of seizures. Although the parents did not call an ambulance, according to the attending physician at the hospital, it was speculative whether the EMT could have changed the outcome. The cause and manner of death according to the ME were undetermined, but based on the physical examination conducted at the hospital there were no signs of physical abuse or maltreatment.

The family completed their services goal on 11/19/21 and the services case was closed.

	Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the Fatality			
Safety A	Assessment:			
	Was sufficient information gathered to make the decision recorded on the:			
	 Approved Initial Safety Assessment? 	Yes		
	 Safety assessment due at the time of determination? 	Yes		
	Was the safety decision on the approved Initial Safety Assessment appropriate?	Yes		
Determ	nination:			
:	Was sufficient information gathered to make determination(s) for all allegations as well as any others identified in the course of the investigation?	Yes, sufficient information was gathered to determine all allegations.		
	Was the determination made by the district to unfound or indicate appropriate?	Yes		
Explain		1		
appropi	ent information gathered to make determination for all allegations on the interior.	ake report. The determination was		
Was th	e decision to close the case appropriate?	Yes		
	sework activity commensurate with appropriate and relevant statutory datory requirements?	Yes		
Was th	ere sufficient documentation of supervisory consultation?	Yes, the case record has detail of the consultation.		
	rel of casework activity, which includes contact with the family and others for	1 1		

case conclusion was commensurate with the case circumstances. The case documentation reflected supervisory involvement.

Required Actions Related to the Fatality				
Are there Dequired Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? \(\sum \text{Ves} \sum \text{No}\)				

Page 4 of 12 NY-21-089 **FINAL**



Fatality-Related Information and Investigative Activities

Incident Information

	Theigent informat	ivii
Date of Death: 08/20/2021	Time o	of Death: 10:28 PM
Time of fatal incident, if diff	erent than time of death:	10:00 PM
County where fatality incide	ent occurred:	Queens
Was 911 or local emergency	number called?	No
Did EMS respond to the scen	ne?	No
At time of incident leading to	o death, had child used alcohol or dr	ugs? N/A
Child's activity at time of inc	cident:	
	☐ Working	Driving / Vehicle occupant
☐ Playing	☐ Eating	Unknown
Other		
•	t time of incident leading to death?	Yes
-	rvisor impaired? Not impaired.	
At time of incident supervisor		
☐ Distracted ☐ Absen		4 191
☐ Asleep ☐ Other:	watching the TV in the same room a	is the child.
Total number of deaths at in	ncident event:	
Children ages 0-18: 1		
Adults: 0		

Household Composition at time of Fatality

Household	Relationship	Role	Gender	Age
Deceased Child's Household	Deceased Child	Alleged Victim	Male	2 Month(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Father	Alleged Perpetrator	Male	39 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Mother	Alleged Perpetrator	Female	37 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Female	13 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Female	19 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Female	2 Year(s)

LDSS Response

On 8/21/21, upon receipt of the report, ACS staff visited the hospital where the child had been taken and was informed by medical staff that the family left the hospital after being interviewed by the detectives who escorted them home; the siblings were not present at the hospital. ACS was informed the subject child had been brought to the hospital five times due to seizures and he was last discharged on 8/17/21. According to the medical records the subject child was playing,



became limp and unresponsive and the parents drove him to the hospital. The family arrived at the hospital at about 10:00PM. ACS was told the parents were compliant with the child's treatment plan and kept all appointments.

Also on 8/21/21, the Specialist visited the case address and went to a neighbor's home. The neighbor reported they were assisting the family by babysitting the surviving siblings. The neighbors refused entry into their home but brought the children downstairs to be observed. The Specialist observed the surviving siblings to be free of any injuries. The siblings did not answer any questions.

Later the same day, the Specialist spoke with the mother. The mother refused the CPS team entry into the home citing that her husband was sleeping but explained that at the time of the incident the 13 and 2-year-old children were in the living room playing and the subject child was in the crib in room. She stated at around 9:30PM the father noticed the subject child was unresponsive and she and the father drove him to the hospital.

Also on 8/21/21, the Specialist contacted law enforcement and learned the parents were interviewed and a death scene reenactment and assessment was completed. The parents demonstrated that the child was in his crib on the day in question. They checked the child to ensure he was breathing. At around 9:30PM the father checked the child again and he was found "limp". Neither parent called 911 as they thought transporting the child the hospital to receive medical care would be faster. Law enforcement reported the surviving siblings were not in the home at the time of the reenactment. Law enforcement indicated no arrests would be made as the child's death did not appear to be suspicious.

The older surviving siblings reported the child was having seizures almost daily and it would last a few minutes and then stop. The family had been driving to the hospital every time the child was ill, and this time they did not know that this particular seizure would have ended the life of the baby.

On 8/23/21, ACS contacted the case planner from the PPRS agency. The case planner indicated the preventive agency has been working with his family since April of 2021. The service plan for this family was set for a period of three to six months, and involved services to address problem solving skills, parenting classes and conflict management. The case planner reported positive interactions between the family members.

On 8/26/21, ACS convened an Initial Child Safety Conference and determined no court intervention was necessary and the family would be referred for bereavement counseling.

Between 8/27/21 and 9/14/21, ACS completed consultations with the ACS Clinical Consultation Team. The team confirmed the need for bereavement counseling as no other issues seemed apparent.

On 9/30/21, ACS contacted the Early Intervention Specialist who noted no concerns with the family or the progress of the 2-year-old child.

On 10/4/21, the children's pediatrician stated the child was seen on 6/2/21 and 7/23/21 for post hospital follow-up visits. The child looked well and was thriving. The parents reported the child suffered respiratory distress and convulsions which caused them to take him to the hospital. The pediatrician stated that the child's death was unfortunate, as when he saw the child on both occasions the child appeared to be well, was clean and did not have any strange smells or diaper rash.

On 10/14/21, ACS unfounded the report.

Official Manner and Cause of Death

Official Manner: Undetermined

Primary Cause of Death: Undetermined if injury or medical cause

Person Declaring Official Manner and Cause of Death: Medical Examiner

NY-21-089 FINAL Page 6 of 12



Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

Was the fatality investigation conducted by a Multidisciplinary Team (MDT)?Yes

Was the fatality referred to an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team?No

Comments: There is no OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team in the NYC region.

SCR Fatality Report Summary

Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome
059481 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	059483 - Father, Male, 39 Year(s)	DOA / Fatality	Unsubstantiated
059481 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	059483 - Father, Male, 39 Year(s)	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated
059481 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	059482 - Mother, Female, 37 Year(s)	DOA / Fatality	Unsubstantiated
059481 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Mons	059482 - Mother, Female, 37 Year(s)	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated

CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
All children observed?				
When appropriate, children were interviewed?	\boxtimes			
Alleged subject(s) interviewed face-to-face?	\boxtimes			
All 'other persons named' interviewed face-to-face?			\boxtimes	
Contact with source?	\boxtimes			
All appropriate Collaterals contacted?	\boxtimes			
Was a death-scene investigation performed?	\boxtimes			
Was there discussion with all parties (youth, other household members, and staff) who were present that day (if nonverbal, observation and comments in case notes)?	\boxtimes			
Coordination of investigation with law enforcement?	\boxtimes			
Was there timely entry of progress notes and other required documentation?	\boxtimes			

Fatality Safety Assessment Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
--	-----	----	-----	---------------------



Were there any surviving siblings or other children in the household?	\boxtimes			
Was there an adequate assessment of impending or immediate danger to shousehold named in the report:	urviving	siblings/o	ther child	dren in the
Within 24 hours?	\boxtimes			
At 7 days?	\boxtimes			
At 30 days?	\boxtimes			
Was there an approved Initial Safety Assessment for all surviving siblings/ other children in the household within 24 hours?	\boxtimes			
Are there any safety issues that need to be referred back to the local district?				
		Γ	Γ	Т
When safety factors were present that placed the surviving siblings/other children in the household in impending or immediate danger of serious harm, were the safety interventions, including parent/caretaker actions adequate?				
	D 011			
Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessment	Profile			
Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessment	Profile Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessment Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case?		No	N/A	
	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household?	Yes	No	N/A	
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition	Yes		N/A	
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation?	Yes			Determine
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case Explain:	Yes	their serv		Determine

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Did the safety factors in the case show the need for the surviving siblings/other children in the household be removed or placed in foster care at any time during this fatality investigation?				
Were there surviving children in the household that were removed either as a result of this fatality report / investigation or for reasons unrelated to this fatality?				

NY-21-089 FINAL Page 8 of 12



Explain a	as	necessary:
-----------	----	------------

No removal of the minor surviving siblings was necessary.

Legal Activity Related to the Fatality

Was there legal activity as a result of the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity.

Services Provided to the Family in Response to the Fatality

Services	Provided After Death	Offered, but Refused	Offered, Unknown if Used	Not Offered	Needed but Unavailable	N/A	CDR Lead to Referral
Bereavement counseling							
Economic support						\boxtimes	
Funeral arrangements			\boxtimes				
Housing assistance							
Mental health services							
Foster care							
Health care						\boxtimes	
Legal services						\boxtimes	
Family planning							
Homemaking Services						\boxtimes	
Parenting Skills						\boxtimes	
Domestic Violence Services						\boxtimes	
Early Intervention						\boxtimes	
Alcohol/Substance abuse							
Child Care							
Intensive case management						\boxtimes	
Family or others as safety resources							
Other							

Were services provided to siblings or other children in the household to address any immediate needs and support their well-being in response to the fatality? Yes

Explain:

The children were referred for counseling and the the 2-year-old also had Early Intervention services.

Were services provided to parent(s) and other care givers to address any immediate needs related to the fatality? Yes

Explain:

The family was in receipt of PPRS and bereavement counseling was added.

NY-21-089 FINAL Page 9 of 12



History Prior to the Fatality

Child Information							
Did the child have a history of alleged child abuse/maltreatment? Was the child ever placed outside of the home prior to the death? Were there any siblings ever placed outside of the home prior to this child's death? Was the child acutely ill during the two weeks before death?				No No No Yes	No No		
		Infants Under One Y	ear Old				
Had med Misused Experien Was not	born:			co ugs			
U Drug exp			☐ With fetal alco	ohol effects or	syndrome		
⊠ With nei	ther of the issues listed note	d in case record					
	ODO X			••			
	CPS - Invest	igative History Three Y	ears Prior to the Fata	lity			
Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)		
02/07/2021	Sibling, Female, 12 Years	Mother, Female, 37 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated	No		
Report Summary: The 02/07/21 SCR report alleged on 2/5/21, the mother physically assaulted her adult child in front of her 12-year-old daughter. The mother got into an argument with the adult daughter. During the argument, the mother became physical and hit the adult daughter with a wooden stick. The mother was verbally abusive to the 12-year-old and the adult daughter. The 12-year-old child was afraid and did not feel safe in the home.							
Report Det	Report Determination: Indicated Date of Determination: 04/09/2021						
ACS unsubstepfather at the incident OCFS Revi	nd adult child confirmed the ew Results:	pasis of credible evidence gas allegations of the report. The excessive corporal punishm	he mother said she was ang	gry and admitte	ed her role in		
presence of Appropriate address the	her minor children. ACS manotifications were provided mother's anger issues.	ade the appropriate contacts. The family was also reference to the compliance issue(s)?	including with the source red for preventive services	and other colla	iterals.		
ALC HICLE I	Tequiled Actions related to	the comphance issue(s):	☐ 1 C2				

CPS - Investigative History More Than Three Years Prior to the Fatality

NY-21-089 FINAL Page 10 of 12



There was no CPS investigative history more than three years prior to the fatality.

Known CPS History Outside of NYS

There was no known CPS history outside of New York State.

Services Open at the Time of the Fatality

Was the deceased child(ren) involved in an open preventive services case at the time of the fatality? Yes Date the preventive services case was opened: 02/19/2021

Date the preventive services case was opened: 02/19/2021				
Evaluative Review of Services that were Open at the Tin	ne of the F	atality		
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Did the service provider(s) comply with the timeliness and content requirements for progress notes?	\boxtimes			
Did the services provided meet the service needs as outlined in the case record?				
Did all service providers comply with mandated reporter requirements?	\boxtimes			
Was there information in the case record that indicated the existence of behaviors or conditions that placed the children in the case in danger or increased their risk of harm?				
Casework Contacts				
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Did the service provider comply with case work contacts, including face- to-face contact as required by regulations pertaining to the program choice?				
Services Provided				
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were services provided to siblings or other children in the household to address any immediate needs and support their well-being in response to the fatality?				
Were services provided to parents as necessary to achieve safety, permanency, and well-being?	\boxtimes			
Family Assessment and Service Plan (FAS	SP)			
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine

NY-21-089 FINAL Page 11 of 12

NEW YORK Office of Children and Family Services Child Fatality Report				
Was the most recent FASP approved on time?				
Was there a current Risk Assessment Profile/Risk Assessment in the most recent FASP?				
Provider				
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were Services provided by a provider other than the Local Department of Social Services?	\boxtimes			
Additional information, if necessary: Services were provided through a contracted provider agency.			•	
Preventive Services History				
On 2/19/21, the family was referred for preventive services - Functional Family (FFT-TCM), as a result of concerns related to excessive corporal punishment by daughter with a broom stick leaving marks and bruises on the daughter. The more family accepted the services. On 8/18/21, the family was scheduled for a Service Termination Conference. However, the family was scheduled for a Service Termination Conference.	the moth ther was a	er. The marrested an	other beat nd later rel	her adult eased. The
the clinician via video conference to show that the child was was shaking and ha advised to seek immediate medical attention which she did. The child died two	aving shor	tness of b		

The preventive services case remained open until 11/19/21 to assist the family with bereavement counseling. The family

Legal History Within Three Years Prior to the Fatality

Recommended Action(s)

Was there any legal activity within three years prior to the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity

Are there any recommended actions for local or state administrative or policy changes?

Yes
No

Are there any recommended prevention activities resulting from the review? \square Yes \boxtimes No

successfully met all treatment goals.

NY-21-089 FINAL Page 12 of 12